


Analysis and Performance of **Engineering Materials**

Key Research and Development



Editor Gennady E. Zaikov, DSc

AAP | APPLE
ACADEMIC
PRESS

CRC Press
Taylor & Francis Group

ANALYSIS AND PERFORMANCE OF ENGINEERING MATERIALS

Key Research and Development

Edited by

Gennady E. Zaikov, DSc



Apple Academic Press Inc. 3333 Mistwell Crescent Oakville, ON L6L 0A2 Canada	Apple Academic Press Inc. 9 Spinnaker Way Waretown, NJ 08758 USA
---	---

©2016 by Apple Academic Press, Inc.

Exclusive worldwide distribution by CRC Press, a member of Taylor & Francis Group

No claim to original U.S. Government works

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper

International Standard Book Number-13: 978-1-77188-085-5 (Hardcover)

International Standard Book Number-13: 978-1-4987-0773-2 (eBook)

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electric, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher or its distributor, except in the case of brief excerpts or quotations for use in reviews or critical articles.

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reprinted material is quoted with permission and sources are indicated. Copyright for individual articles remains with the authors as indicated. A wide variety of references are listed. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the authors, editors, and the publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors, editors, and the publisher have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged, please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Trademark Notice: Registered trademark of products or corporate names are used only for explanation and identification without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015946443

Library and Archives Canada Cataloguing in Publication

Analysis and performance of engineering materials: key research and development / edited by Gennady E. Zaikov, DSc.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Issued in print and electronic formats.

ISBN 978-1-77188-085-5 (hardcover).--ISBN 978-1-4987-0773-2 (ebook)

1. Polymers--Testing. 2. Materials--Testing. 3. Polymer engineering. 4. Chemistry, Physical and theoretical. I. Zaikov, G. E. (Gennadiĭ Efremovich), 1935-, author, editor

TA455.P58A53 2015

620.1'920287

C2015-905182-7

C2015-905221-1

Apple Academic Press also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic format. For information about Apple Academic Press products, visit our website at www.appleacademicpress.com and the CRC Press website at www.crcpress.com

CHAPTER 3

STEEL SURFACE MODIFICATION

IGOR NOVÁK,¹ IVAN MICHALEC,² MARIAN VALENTIN,¹ MILAN MARÔNEK,² LADISLAV ŠOLTÉS,³ JÁN MATYAŠOVSKÝ,⁴ and PETER JURKOVIČ⁴

¹Department of Welding and Foundry, Faculty of Materials Science and Technology in Trnava, 917 24 Trnava, Slovakia

²Slovak Academy of Sciences, Polymer Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 845 41 Bratislava, Slovakia

³Institute of Experimental Pharmacology of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 845 41 Bratislava, Slovakia

⁴VIPO, Partizánske, Slovakia

E-mail: upolnovi@savba.sk

CONTENTS

3.1	Introduction.....	46
3.2	Experimental	46
3.3	Results and Discussion	49
3.4	Conclusion	55
	Acknowledgements.....	56
	Keywords	56
	References.....	57

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The surface treatment of steel surface is often used, especially in an automotive industry, that creates the motive power for research, design and production. New methods of surface treatment are also developed having major influence on improvement the surface properties of steel sheets while keeping the price at reasonable level [1–3].

The nitrooxidation is one of the nonconventional surface treatment methods which combine the advantages of nitridation and oxidation processes. The improvement of the mechanical properties (Tensile Strength, Yield Strength) together with the corrosion resistance (up to level 10) can be achieved [5–8]. The fatigue characteristics of the nitrooxidized material can be also raised [6].

Steel sheets with surface treatment are more often used, especially in an automotive industry which creates the motive power for research, design and production. New methods of surface treatment are also developed having major influence on improvement the surface properties of steel sheets while keeping the price at reasonable level.

Previous outcomes [1, 3, 4, 9, 10] dealt with the welding of steel sheets treated by the process of nitrooxidation by various arc and beam welding methods. Due to high oxygen and nitrogen content in the surface layer, problems with high level of porosity had occurred in every each method. The best results were achieved by the solid-state laser beam welding, by which the defect-free joints were created. Due to high initial cost of the laser equipment, the further research was directed to the joining method that has not been tested. Therefore the adhesive bonding was chosen, because the joints are not thermally affected, they have uniform stress distribution and good corrosion resistance.

The goal of the paper is to review the adhesive bonding of steel sheets treated by nitrooxidation and to compare the acquired results to the non-treated steel.

3.2 EXPERIMENTAL

For the experiments, low carbon deep drawing steel DC 01 EN 10130/91 of 1 mm in thickness was used. The chemical composition of steel DC 01 is documented in Table 3.1.

TABLE 3.1 Chemical Composition of Steel DC 01 EN 10130/91

EN designation	C[%]	Mn[%]	P[%]	S[%]	Si[%]	Al[%]
DC 01 10130/91	0.10	0.45	0.03	0.03	0.01	–

3.2.1 CHEMICAL MODIFICATION

The base material was consequently treated by the process of nitrooxidation in fluidized bed. The nitridation fluid environment consisted of the Al_2O_3 with granularity of 120 μm . The fluid environment was wafted by the gaseous ammonia. After the process of nitridation, the oxidation process started immediately. The oxidation itself was performed in the vapors of distilled water. Processes parameters are referred in Table 3.2.

3.2.2 ADHESIVES

In the experiments, the four types of two-component epoxy adhesives made by Loctite Company (Hysol 9466, Hysol 9455, Hysol 9492 and Hysol 9497) were used. The properties of the adhesives are documented in Table 3.3.

TABLE 3.2 Process of Nitrooxidation Parameters

	Nitridation	Oxidation
Time [min]	45	5
Temperature [°C]	580	380

TABLE 3.3 The Characterization of the Adhesives

	Hysol 9466	Hysol 9455	Hysol 9492	Hysol 9497
Resin type	Epoxy	Epoxy	Epoxy	Epoxy
Hardener type	Amin	Methanethiol	Modified Amin	
Mixing ratio	2:1	1:1	2:1	2:1
Elongation [%]	3	80	0.8	2.9
Shore hardness	60	50	80	83

3.2.3 METHODS

The experiments were done at the Faculty of Materials Science and Technology, Department of Welding and Foundry in Trnava. The adhesive bonding was applied on the grinded as well as nongrinded surfaces of the material to determine the grinding effect on total adhesion of the material so as on ultimate shear strength of the joints. The grinded material was prepared by grinding with silicone carbide paper up to 240 grit.

Before the adhesive bonding, the bonding surfaces (both grinded as well as nongrinded) were decreased with aerosol cleaner. The overlap area was 30 mm. To ensure the maximum strength of the joints, the continuous layer of the adhesive was coated on the overlap area of both bonded materials. The thickness of the adhesive layer was 0.1 mm and it was measured by a caliper. The joints were cured under fixed stress for 48 h at the room temperature. The dimensions of the joints are referred in Fig. 3.1.

The mechanical properties of the joints were examined by the static shear tests. As a device, the LaborTech LabTest SP1 was used. The conditions of the tests were set in accordance with STN EN 10002–1. The static shear tests were repeated on three separate samples and an average value was calculated.

The fracture areas were observed in order to obtain the fracture character of the joints. The JEOL JSM-7600F scanning electron microscope was used as a measuring device.

The differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed on Netzsch STA 409 C/CD equipment. As the shielding gas, Helium with purity of




FIGURE 3.1 The dimension of the bonded joints.

99.999% was used. The heating process starts at the room temperature and continued up to 400°C with heating rate 10°C/min. The DSC analysis on Hysol 9455 was done on Diamond DSC Perkin Elmer, capable of doing analyzes from – 70°C.

3.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The material analysis represents the first step of evaluation. There are many factors having an influence on the joint quality. The properties of the nitrooxidized material depends on the treatment process parameters. For the adhesive bonding, the surface layer properties are important because of that, the high adhesion is needed to ensure the high strength of the joint.

The overall view on the microstructure of the nitrooxidized material surface layer is referred in Fig 3.2a. On the top of the surface, the oxide layer (see Fig. 3.2b) was created. This layer had a thickness of approx. 700 μm . Beneath the oxide layer, the continuous layer of ϵ -phase, consisting of nitrides Fe_{2-3}N and with the thickness of 8–10 μm was observed.

The surface energy measurements were performed due to obtain the properties of the material, which are important for adhesive bonding. For observing the grinding effect on the total surface energy, the measurements were done on the base as well as on the grinded material.

To determine the surface energy, the portable computer-based instrument SeeSystem was used. Four different liquids (distilled water,




FIGURE 3.2 The microstructure of the surface layer. (a) overall view; (b) detail view on the oxide layer.

formamide, diiodomethan and ethylene glycol) were instilled on the material surface and contact angle was measured. The Owens-Wendt regression model was used for the surface energy calculation. The total amount of six droplets were analyzed of each liquid. The results (see Table 3.4) proved that the nitrooxidation treatment had a strong affect on material surface energy, where the decrease by 28% in comparison to nonnitrooxidized material had occurred. The surface energies of grinded and nongrinded material without nitrooxidation were very similar, while the increase of surface energy of grinded nitrooxidized material by 35% in comparison to nongrinded material was observed. In the case of barrier plasma modified steel is the surface energy higher compared unmodified material and namely its polar component is significantly higher than polar component of surface energy for unmodified sample as well as for sample modified by nitrooxidation.

The mechanical properties of the material were obtained by the static tensile test. Total amount of three measurements were done and the average values are documented in Table 3.5. By the results, it can be stated,

TABLE 3.4 The Surface Energy of Materials

Material type	Total surface energy [mJ/m ²]	Dispersion component [mJ/m ²]	Acid-base component [mJ/m ²]
DC 01	38.20	35.62	2.58
DC 01 grinded	38.80	33.66	5.14
Nitrooxidized	27.60	25.79	1.80
Nitrooxidized grinded	37.31	32.54	4.77
Barrier plasma treated	39.99	33.69	6.30

TABLE 3.5 Mechanical Properties of the Base Materials

	Yield Strength [MPa]	Tensile Strength [MPa]
DC 01	200	270
Nitrooxidized	310	380
Barrier plasma treated	200	270

that after the process of nitrooxidation, the increase of Yield Strength by 55% and Tensile Strength by 40 % were observed. The barrier plasma did not influence the mechanical properties after surface modification of steel, that remained the same as for unmodified sample.

The tensile test of the adhesives were carried out on the specimens, which were created by curing of the adhesives in special designed polyethylene forms for 48 h. The results are shown in Table 3.6. In three of the adhesives (Hysol 9466, Hysol 9492 and Hysol 9497), very similar values were observed while in case of Hysol 9455, only tensile strength of 1 MPa was observed.

The differential scanning calorimetry was performed due to obtain the glass transition temperature as well as the melting points of the adhesives. The results are in Table 3.7. To measure the glass transition temperature of Hysol 9455, the measurements had to be started from the cryogenic temperatures. The results of such a low glass transition temperature explained the low tensile strength of the Hysol 9455, where at the room temperature, the mechanical behavior changed from rigid to rubbery state. The results of DSC analyzes are given in Fig. 3.3–3.6.

The adhesive joint evaluation consisted of observing the mechanical properties and fracture surface respectively.

In order to obtain mechanical properties of the joints, the static shear tests were carried out. Results (Table 3.8) showed that the highest shear strength was observed in grinded nitrooxidized joints. The Hysol 9466 provided joints with the highest shear strength.

TABLE 3.6 The Mechanical Properties of the Adhesives

	Hysol 9466	Hysol 9455	Hysol 9492	Hysol 9497
Tensile Strength [MPa]	60	1	58	65

TABLE 3.7 The Glass Transition Temperatures of Adhesives

	Hysol 9466	Hysol 9455	Hysol 9492	Hysol 9497
Glass transition temperature [°C]	52.6	5.0	61.2	62.4
Melting point [°C]	315.7	337.0	351.8	327.3




FIGURE 3.3 The DSC analysis of the Hysol 9466.




FIGURE 3.4 The DSC analysis of the Hysol 9455.




FIGURE 3.5 The DSC analysis of the Hysol 9492.




FIGURE 3.6 The DSC analysis of the Hysol 9497.

TABLE 3.8 The results of shear test of the joints

Material	Shear strength [MPa]			
	Hysol 9466	Hysol 9455	Hysol 9492	Hysol 9497
DC 01	9.0	2.8	7.1	6.0
DC 01 grinded	8.9	2.9	7.2	6.1
Nitrooxidized	12.9	3.1	7.8	5.4
Nitrooxidized grinded	12.9	5.9	12.7	7.0
Barrier plasma treated	13.8	6.1	14.2	7.8

The mechanical properties of the joints made on nonnitrooxidized material did not depended on the surface grinding. The mechanical properties of the joints made on nitrooxidized material, in comparison to DC 01, were higher by 43% in case of Hysol 9466, 11% in case of Hysol 9455 and 10% in case of Hysol 9492. In the case of adhesive Hysol 9497, the decrease of the shear strength had occurred. The joints produced on grinded nitrooxidized material, in comparison to DC 01, had a higher shear strength by 43% in case of Hysol 9466, 110% in case of Hysol 9455, 79% in case of Hysol 9492 and 15% in case of Hysol 9497. The shear strength of adhesive joint was for barrier plasma modified steel for all kinds of adhesive Hysol higher compared unmodified and nitrooxidized steel.

Results of fracture morphology of the joints made of nonnitrooxidized material are shown in Fig. 3.7a. Only the adhesive type of fracture

morphology (see Fig. 3.7b) was observed in every type of the adhesive. Cohesive and combined fracture type were not observed. It can be stated that the adhesion forces were not strong enough so that the joints were fractured between the material and adhesive.

The results of the fractographic analysis of the nongrinded nitrooxidized steel are documented in Fig. 3.8. No oxide layer peeling was observed. The cleavage fracture pattern was observed only as well as adhesive fracture morphology. The close-up view on the cleavage fracture is shown in Fig 3.8b.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry revealed that three of the adhesives had a very similar glass transition temperature, so the meshing of the adhesives will start in the same way.




FIGURE 3.7 The fractographic analysis of the fractured adhesive joint of nonnitrooxidized material. (a) overall view (b) close-up view.




FIGURE 3.8 The fractographic analysis of the fractured adhesive joint of nitrooxidized material. (a) overall view (b) close-up view.

The results of mechanical properties evaluation of the joints proved that the material after the nitrooxidation process had a better adhesion to the epoxy adhesives than plain material DC01. Due to this fact the higher shear strength was achieved. It can be explained by the surface oxide layer porosity, which helped the adhesive to leak in.

On the other hand, increase of mechanical properties of joints prepared from grinded nitrooxidized material can be explained by removing the surface oxide layer and thus resulting into rapid increase of surface energy.

The only adhesive type of fracture was observed and the fractographic analysis showed, that only cleavage type of fractures has been created. It can be stated, that the surface energy of the materials was not appropriate for the cohesive fracture pattern.

3.4 CONCLUSION

Joining of steel sheets treated by the process of nitrooxidation represents an interesting technical as well as technological problem. The fusion welding methods with high energy concentration, for example, laser beam welding are one of the possible options, however even with high effort of minimizing the surface layer deterioration, it's not possible to completely avoid it.

Adhesive bonding of nitrooxidized steels presents thus the second alternative, when the surface layer is not damaged and the adhesive joint keeps its properties after it has been cured. Adhesive bonding of metallic substrates, often requires removing the surface oxide layer from the areas to be bonded. In case of materials treated by nitrooxidation, this is possible, however the damage of the formed surface layer will occur. The goal of this paper was to review the effect of surface layer, created by the process of nitrooxidation and or by barrier discharge plasma treatment, on final mechanical properties of the joints, evaluation of fracture morphology and results comparison for both, treated and untreated material.

The acquired results have been revealed, that the presence of nitro-oxidation surface layer caused decrease of free surface energy by 28%. The surface energy and namely its polar component were for barrier plasma modified steel higher than for unmodified and nitrooxidized steel. On the other hand, this surface layer brings on the joints shear strength

increase by 10–43% in dependence of the adhesive used. In case of Hysol 9497, the decrease of the joint shear strength by 10% was observed. In the case of barrier plasma modified steel were the strength of adhesive joint higher compared unmodified and nitrooxidized material. We can presume that the increase of the shear strength was mainly due to porous structure of the surface layer, which enabled the adhesive to leak in.

The adhesive type of fracture morphology was observed during fractographic analysis. Regarding the characteristics of used adhesives, the cleavage fracture morphology of the joints was occurred.

There can be concluded on the base of received results, that the epoxy adhesive bonding represents the suitable alternative of creating the high quality joints of steel sheets treated by nitrooxidation as well as treated by barrier discharge plasma.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was prepared within the support of Slovak Research and Development Agency, grant No. 0057–07 and Scientific Grant Agency VEGA, grant No. 1/0203/11 and 2/0199/14.

This publication was prepared as an output of the project 2013–14547/39694:1–11, Research and Development of Hi-Tech Integrated Technological and Machinery Systems for Tyre Production – PROTYRE” cofunded by the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic pursuant to Stimuli for Research and Development Act No. 185/2009 Coll.

KEYWORDS

- **automotive industry**
- **improvement**
- **mechanical properties**
- **modification treatment**
- **steel**
- **surface**

REFERENCES

1. Michalec, I. CMT Technology Exploitation for Welding of Steel Sheets Treated by Nitrooxidation. Diploma thesis, Trnava 2010.
2. Konjatić, Pejo; Kozak, Dražan; Gubelj, Nenad. The Influence of the Weld Width on Fracture Behavior of the Heterogeneous Welded Joint. *Key Engineering Materials*. 488–489 (2012); 367–370.
3. Bárta, J. Welding of special treated thin steel sheets Dissertation thesis, Trnava, 2010.
4. Marônek, M. et al. Laser beam welding of steel sheets treated by nitrooxidation, 61st Annual Assembly and International Conference of the International Institute of Welding, Graz, Austria, 6–11 July 2008.
5. Lazar, R., Marônek, M., Dománková, M. Low carbon steel sheets treated by nitrooxidation process, *Engineering extra*, 2007, No. 4, p. 86.
6. Palček, P et al. Change of fatigue characteristics of deep-drawing sheets by nitrooxidation. *Master Journal List, Scopus*. In *Chemické listy*. – ISSN 0009–2770. – Vol. 105, Iss. 16, Spec. Iss (2011), 539–541.
7. Bárta, J. et al. Joining of thin steel sheets treated by nitrooxidation, *Proceeding of lectures of 15th seminary of ESAB + MTF-STU in the scope of seminars about welding and weldability*. Trnava, Alumni Press, 2011, 57–67.
8. Marônek, M. et al. Welding of steel sheets treated by nitrooxidation, *JOM-16 16-th International Conference On the Joining of Materials and 7-th International Conference on Education in Welding ICEW-7*, May 10–13th, Tisvildeleje, Denmark, ISBN 87–89582–19–5.
9. Viňáš, J. Quality evaluation of laser welded sheets for cars body. In *Mat/tech automobilového priemyslu Zborník prác vt-seminára s medzinárodnou účasťou Košice*, 25.11.2005. Košice TU, 2005. 119–124. ISBN 80–8073–400–3.
10. Michalec, I. et al. Resistance welding of steel sheets treated by nitrooxidation. In *TEAM 2011 Proceedings of the 3rd International Scientific and Expert Conference with simultaneously organized 17th International Scientific Conference CO-MAT-TECH 2011*, 19th–21st October 2011, Trnava Slovakia. Slavonski Brod University of Applied Sciences of Slavonski Brod, 2011. ISBN 978-953-55970-4-9. 47–50.